

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

08cr04 order  
10/31/08  
FILED

2008 OCT 31 P 2:46

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
Plaintiff,

v.

CHRISTOPHER LAMONT SHERMAN  
Defendant.

CRIMINAL ACTION NO.  
3:08CR00004 (JCH)

OCTOBER 31, 2008

U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
BRIDGEPORT, CONN

**ORDER**

The Clerk is directed to return the attached letter to the defendant. Defendant is not permitted to file papers pro se when represented by an attorney. Further, corresponding with the court by letter, ex parte, is not permitted.

Copies of this letter are to be served on the United States of America and defendant's attorney. Defendant's attorney is ordered to <sup>confer</sup> confirm with his client and answer any questions his client may have about this Order.

**SO ORDERED.**

Dated at Bridgeport, Connecticut this 31st day of October, 2008.

/s/ Janet C. Hall, USDJ

Janet C. Hall  
United States District Court

10-24-08

①

RECEIVED

To: The Honorable Judge Hall

2008 OCT 24 12:55  
CHAMBERS JANET C. HALL  
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

Your Honor I wrote my lawyer on three different occasions asking him to file my motion. I finally got mail from him on October 24, 2008 saying he put in my motion but he really just jumped in other people's motion. I didn't want that I wanted my motion filed separately and to mention certain topics. Like when I was in court and I asked the prosecutor what was the difference between the two indictments he <sup>said</sup> they both the same, so I said why are you superseding us then and he said "because it would have been a security risk to have us all in court together". When Dante Cobb went to court The Honorable Judge Sitzsimmons asked the prosecutor was there any differences in the first and second indictments and he said "no your Honor it's all the same". Now I hear he saying he separated the indictment because he says it's two different — conspiracies.

(2.)

This is new to me because like I said he told me and all the lawyers that it was the same case. If you listen to the court transcripts from our dates you'll see he openly admit that nothing changed. If he wanted to separate us for trial all he had to do was file a motion under rule 14 to sever the defendants. Instead he went back to the Grand Jury and superseded us on the same charges which is a violation of our rights. I asked my lawyer to come and see me and he still hasn't come yet. I have a lot of questions to ask him like were is the new evidence, can they use the same drugs from the Glover case against us. I need to know since were not connected to Glover anymore. I didn't get a new discovery, so what are they using the old evidence from the Glover case. I'm asking because I'm wondering were the 50 grams — are coming from. First it was one big conspiracy now two please don't let them pull the blinders

over your eyes. Please your  
Honor can you make sure we get  
a fair trial.

Thank You

God Bless

Sincerely,

Chris Sherman